

**Fact:** the modulus gives an equivalence relation. We will not prove this fact, but if you have never checked the three properties, you should!

Lemma: (equivalences) For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

the following are equivalent:

1)  $m \equiv n \pmod{k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

2)  $[m] = [n] \pmod{k}$

3) The remainders upon division by  $k$  of  $m$  and  $n$  are the same.

4)  $[m] \cap [n] \neq \emptyset \pmod{k}$

proof: 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) Since  $m \equiv n \pmod{k}$ ,

we know  $k \mid (m-n)$ .

Then  $\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$ak = m - n, \text{ and so}$$

$$m = n + ak$$

$$\Rightarrow m \in [n].$$

Similarly, since  $n - m = -ak$ ,

$$n \in [m].$$

Therefore,  $[n] = [m]$ .

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3) Suppose  $[n] = [m]$ .

Then this means that if

$b \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$  is

the remainder upon dividing

$n$  by  $k$ , then

$[n] = [b]$ . But

$[n] = [m]$ , so

$[m] = [b]$ . By

definition of the remainder,

$b$  is the remainder upon

dividing  $m$  by  $k$ .



3)  $\Rightarrow$  4) Suppose the remainders, upon division by  $k$ , of  $n$  and  $m$  are equal to  $b$ .

Then

$$b \in [n] \text{ and } b \in [m]$$

$$\Rightarrow [n] \cap [m] \neq \emptyset.$$

4)  $\Rightarrow$  1) Suppose  $[n] \cap [m] \neq \emptyset$ .

$$\text{Let } c \in [n] \cap [m].$$

$$\text{Then } n \equiv c \pmod{k} \text{ and}$$

$$m \equiv c \pmod{k} \Rightarrow$$

$$n \equiv m \pmod{k}.$$



Observation: any two equivalence classes  
are either disjoint (have  
empty intersection) or equal.

Corollary: (residue classes) For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$k \geq 2$ ,  $\exists$  exactly  $k$

equivalence classes, modulo  $k$ :

$[0], [1], [2], \dots, [k-2], [k-1]$ .

Proof:

Immediate from the previous proposition: any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  has

$[n] = [b]$  where  $b$  is the

remainder upon division of  $n$  by  $k$ ,

and there are exactly  $k$  choices

for  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq b < k$ .



Lemma:

(addition and multiplication)

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Then

if  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}$

with  $m \equiv m' \pmod{k}$  and

$n \equiv n' \pmod{k}$ , then

$m+n \equiv (m'+n') \pmod{k}$  and

$m \cdot n \equiv (m' \cdot n') \pmod{k}$ , i.e.,

addition and multiplication of equivalence classes is well-defined:

$$[m+n] = [m'+n']$$

$$[m \cdot n] = [m' \cdot n']$$

proof:

$$(m+n) - (m'+n')$$

$$= (m-m') + (n-n')$$

Since  $m \equiv m' \pmod{k}$ ,  $n \equiv n' \pmod{k}$ ,

then  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$m-m' = ak, \quad n-n' = bk.$$

Then

$$(m+n) - (m'+n') = ak + bk = (a+b)k$$

$$\Rightarrow m+n \equiv (m'+n') \pmod{k}.$$

$$m \cdot n - m' \cdot n' = mn - \underbrace{(mn')} + (mn') - m'n'$$

$= 0$

$$m \cdot n - m' \cdot n' = m(n - n') + (m - m')n'$$

With  $a$  and  $b$  as above,

$$m \cdot n - m' \cdot n' = m(bk) + (ak)n'$$

$$= (mb + an')k$$

$$\Rightarrow m \cdot n = m' \cdot n' \pmod{k}$$



Definition: ( $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ) Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$   
the collection of all equivalence  
classes of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , modulo  $n$ .

Then we may define two  
operations on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , "+"  
and ".", by, for

$[m], [k] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,

$$[m] + [k] = [m+k]$$

$$[m] \cdot [k] = [m \cdot k].$$

## Observations: (ring properties)

$\mathbb{Z}_n$ , with "+" and "." as defined previously, satisfies

1) "+" is commutative on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

2) "+" is associative on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

3)  $\forall [m] \in \mathbb{Z}_n, [m] + [0] = [m]$ ,

so zero is a neutral element for "+".

4) If  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$[m] + [-m] = [0]$$



So  $[-m]$  is the "inverse" of  $[m]$  with respect to "+".

5) " $\cdot$ " is commutative on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

6) " $\cdot$ " is associative on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

7) " $\cdot$ " distributes over "+":

if  $m, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$[m] \cdot ([k] + [l])$$

$$= [m] \cdot [k] + [m] \cdot [l]$$

8) If  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[1] \cdot [m] = [m]$ ,

So  $[1]$  is a neutral element for " $\cdot$ " on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Example 2: (calculation in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ )

Let  $n=3$ .

Then

$$[74] + [49] \pmod{3}$$

$$= [2] + [1] = [3]$$

$$= [0]$$

$$[74] \cdot [49] \pmod{3}$$

$$= [2] \cdot [1]$$

$$= [2]$$

Theorem. (Chinese remainder) Suppose  
 $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \geq 2$ , and  
 $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Then if

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists s \in \mathbb{Z}$$

such that

$$s = a \pmod{m}$$

and

$$s = b \pmod{n}.$$

$s$  is unique up to equivalence  
modulo  $mn$ .

proof: Since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ,  $\exists$

$k, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$1 = km + tn.$$

$$\text{Let } s_1 = 1 - km = tn.$$

$$\text{Let } s_2 = 1 - tn = km.$$

$$\text{Let } s = as_1 + bs_2.$$

$$[s]_m = [as_1]_m + [bs_2]_m$$

$$[s]_m = [a]_m [s_1]_m + [b]_m [s_2]_m$$

$$\text{But } [s_2]_m = [km]_m = [0]_m.$$

Also,

$$[s_1]_m = [1 - km]_m$$

$$= [1]_m + [-km]_m$$

$$= [1]_m + [0]_m$$

$$= [1]_m$$

$$\text{So } [s]_m = [a]_m [s_1]_m + [b]_m [s_2]_m$$

$$= [a]_m [1]_m + [b]_m [0]_m$$

$$= [a]_m$$

$$\Rightarrow s \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

Similarly,  $s = b \pmod n$ .

Now suppose  $\exists s' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$s' = b \pmod n$$

$$s' = a \pmod m$$

Then

$s - s'$  is divisible by both

$n$  and  $m$ , so

$$[s - s']_{nm} = [0]_{nm}.$$

D